

The Policy Circle:

Application of A Framework for Understanding and Analyzing the
Components of Family Planning, Reproductive Health, Maternal Health
and HIV/AIDS

**Karen Hardee, PhD
Imelda Feranil, MA
Jill Boezwinkle, MPH
Benjamin Clark, MPA**

POLICY Project



Final Draft

April 13, 2004

This publication was prepared by the Policy Project. The POLICY Project is funded by the U.S. Agency for International Development (USAID) under Contract No. HRN-C-00-00-00006-00, beginning July 7, 2000. POLICY is implemented by the Futures Group International in collaboration with the Centre for Development and Population Activities (CEDPA) and Research Triangle Institute (RTI). The views expressed in this paper do not necessarily reflect those of USAID.



Table of Contents

Acknowledgements.....	ii
Abbreviations.....	iii
Introduction.....	1
Components of the Policy Circle.....	4
The Political, Social, Cultural and Economic Context.....	5
The People and Places.....	9
The Process.....	14
The Price Tag.....	19
The Paper.....	21
The Programs and Performance.....	23
Linking the Policy Circle with Attributes that Influence Policy Change.....	26
Summary.....	28
References.....	30
Appendix 1: Description of the Policy Circle Tools.....	34

Figure 1: Linear Model of Policymaking	2
Figure 2: Stages Model of Policymaking	3
Figure 3: The Policy Circle	4
Box 1: Government Types and their General Characteristics	5
Box 2: Illustrative List of Tools to Identify the Problem	8
Box 3: People/Places: Participation in Decentralized HIV/AIDS Policymaking	11
Box 4: Evidence-based Advocacy on HIV/AIDS by Policy Champions in Mali	12
Box 5: Illustrative List of Tools for Analyzing People and Places	13
Box 6: Advocacy: Reproductive Health Policy Reform in Guatemala	17
Box 7: Illustrative List of Tools for Analyzing Process	18
Box 8: Illustrative List of Tools for Analyzing Price Tag	20
Box 9: Illustrative List of Tools for Analyzing Paper	22
Box 10: Program Implementation: Developing a Strategic Plan in Nigeria	23
Box 11: Illustrative List of Tools for Analyzing Programs/Performance	25
Box 12: Linking What Makes Policies Happen to the Policy Circle	26

Acknowledgements

The inspiration for the Policy Circle came during discussions of policy with Lute Kazembe, then a Michigan Peak Fellow with the POLICY Project, the goal of which is to facilitate the development of policies and plans that promote and sustain high-quality family planning, reproductive health, safe motherhood and HIV/AIDS services. We would like to thank members of the POLICY Project for their comments on earlier drafts of the Policy Circle. Anne Eckman, Harry Cross, Joe Deering, Jay Gribble, Lane Porter, John Stover, Anne Jorgensen, Karen Foreit, Kathy McClure, Issa Almasarweh, Danielle Grant-Krahe, Sue Richiedei, Koki Agarwal, Angeline Siparo, Nikki Shay, Maureen Clyde, Suneeta Sharma, Margaret Rowan, Carol Shepherd, Arnab Acharya, and Nancy McGirr gave particularly helpful comments on the Policy Circle. We would also like to thank our USAID colleagues Elizabeth Schoenecker, Rose McCullough and Diana Prieto for their comments on the Policy Circle paper. Clea Finkle, consultant, also provided useful guidance on an earlier draft of the paper.

We would also like to thank those people who have inspired us in our quest to understand policy and to be policy practitioners: J. Mayone Stycos from Cornell University, Larry Schroeder from Syracuse University, USAID's Policy Development Division in the Office of Population (now the Policy, Evaluation and Communication Division in the Global Health Bureau), colleagues noted above on the POLICY Project and its predecessors.

Abbreviations

AGMM	Guatemalan Association of Medical Women
AGOG	Guatemalan Association of Gynecology and Obstetrics
AIM	AIDS Impact Model
ANE	Asia/Near East Region
API	AIDS Program Index
ARH	Adolescent Reproductive Health
ARV	Antiretroviral
CALDH	Center of Legal Action for Human Rights
CONASIDA	Mexico's National AIDS Council
DHS	Demographic and Health Survey
EPP	Estimation and Projection Package
FMOH	Federal Ministry of Health, Nigeria
FP	Family planning
GIPA	Greater Involvement of People Living with HIV/AIDS
IHE	Child Health Institute (Institut Haïtien de l'Enfance), Haiti
ICPD	International Conference on Population and Development
MAQ	Maximize Access and Quality
MNPI	Maternal and Neonatal Program Index
MOH	Ministry of Health
NPC	National Population Commission, Jordan
NGO	Non-governmental organization
PES	Policy Environment Score
PLHA	People Living with HIV/AIDS
PMTCT	Prevention of mother-to-child transmission
PNLS	National AIDS Control Program (Programme National de Lutte contre le SIDA), Mali
POA	Programme of action
PSI	Population Services International
QIQ	Quick Investigation of Quality
RAPID	Rapid Analysis of Population's Impact on Development
RH	Reproductive health
RNM	Resource Needs Model
SPARCHS	Strategic Pathway to Reproductive Health Commodity Security
STI	Sexually Transmitted Infection
UNAIDS	Joint United Nations Program on HIV/AIDS
UNFPA	United Nations Population Fund
UNGASS	UN General Assembly Special Assembly on HIV/AIDS
USAID	United States Agency for International Development
WPB	Workplace Policy Builder

Introduction

Background

What is public policy and how are they developed? Do only government officials make public policies or are groups outside of government also involved? What is the role of evidence in policymaking? Are there tools that can be used in policy development and analysis? In what ways is policy important? These questions reflect the fact that policy is a process made up of steps that are only vaguely understood. To many, public policy is a “black box” from which laws, regulation and operational policy come. Opening the black box provides a better understanding of how policies are formulated and how the public can be more actively engaged in the process.

In the reproductive health field, creating a positive policy environment has been instrumental in expanding access to family planning and reproductive health services. The United Nations Population Division recently concluded that “Governments’ views and policies with regard to the use of contraceptives have changed considerably during the last half of the 20th century. At the same time, many developing countries have experienced a transition from high to low fertility with a speed and magnitude that far exceeds earlier fertility transition in European countries. Government policies on access to contraceptives have played an important role in the shift in reproductive behavior” (UN, 2003: 1).

In the area of HIV/AIDS, Stover and Johnston (1999:1) concluded in their review of AIDS policymaking in Africa that, “A supportive policy environment is crucial to the implementation of successful programs that prevent the spread of HIV, deliver care to those infected, and mitigate the impacts of the epidemic.” Ainsworth, Beyrer and Soucat (2002:26) also writing about HIV, have said that, “There is substantial evidence of the substantial impact of the collection of policies and programs... [on the slowing of the] AIDS epidemic fueled by commercial sex [in Thailand].”

During the mid-1990’s maternal health policy change in Indonesia deployed 50,000 village midwives and led to better coverage of maternal health services, including through increasing the presence of skilled attendants at delivery from 39 percent in 1993 to 55 percent in 1996 (Starrs, 1998). Following its hosting of the 1994, International Conference on Population and Development, “the Government of Egypt endorsed a comprehensive approach to women’s health, with a focus on reducing maternal mortality. Reducing maternal mortality was also a key goal of the National Five Year Plan (1998-2002) of the Ministry of Health and Population” (Ministry of Health and Population, Egypt, 2001:14, cited in Gay et al. 2003). As a result of this shift in policy environment, Egypt reduced its maternal mortality by over 50 percent, from 174 in 1992 to 84 per 100,000 live births in 2000.

These five examples illustrate how governments can take actions to remedy problems through proposing solutions, formulating desired goals and objectives and devising a plan for implementing specific activities. In some cases, policy change takes the form of laws and written policies promulgated by governments, as was the case with the development of population policies over the past few decades. Policy formulation generally takes the shape of developing new policies (as was the case in Thailand) or reforming existing policies, such as promoting attended deliveries in Indonesia. Whether a policy is new or a reform, its effectiveness in achieving the desired goal relies on the process of implementation. The existence of a policy related to HIV will have little effect unless a realistic implementation plan is developed and executed. The role of public policy in

bringing about change is the subject of a plethora of literature on policy,¹ as are case studies that document experiences of bringing about changes in family planning, HIV/AIDS, and safe motherhood. Yet the process of formulation and change is often seen as a black box.

Purpose

The purpose of this paper is to describe a new framework – the Policy Circle – through which the dynamic components of policy development and implementation can be better understood and analyzed. The paper situates the Policy Circle in the wider policy literature, describes why each component of the Policy Circle is important, provides examples of components, and lists tools that can be used with each component. The Policy Circle can be used in any sector; here it is illustrated for use with problems identified in the health sector, specifically in family planning, reproductive health, safe motherhood, and HIV/AIDS. The Policy Circle can also be used to address or analyze problems that require different levels of policy, including national and local policies, and sectoral and operational policies.²


This paper can be found on a CD that includes policy analysis tools and other resources related to family planning, reproductive health, safe motherhood and HIV/AIDS policy. Some of the policy analysis tools also relate to gender, human rights and youth/adolescent reproductive health. Some tools are specific to an individual component of the Policy Circle, while other tools address multiple components of the circle.

Policy Models

A number of models have been developed to describe policy. Some are linear, while others capture the more complex and circuitous route of policy development. The linear model of policy was developed by Lasswell (1951) and modified by Meier (1991) to include four steps taken in policymaking (Figure 1).

Policy practitioners made predictions/prescriptions about issues that need to be addressed through policy, and then policymakers make a policy choice, the policy is implemented and has an outcome. This simple framework has no feedback loop or opportunities for the process to move backwards as well as forwards.

Figure 1 Linear Model of Policymaking




Source: Meier, 1991.

¹ See for example, Altman and Petkus, 1994; Anderson, 1997; Brinkerhoff and Crosby, 2002; Bryson and Crosby, 1992; Dye, 1992; Horowitz, 1989; Lasswell, 1951; Walt and Gilson, 1994; Sutton, 1999; Mooij and de Vos 2003).

² Operational policies refer to the rules, regulations, guidelines and circulars that translate national policy into service delivery (Cross, Hardee and Jewell, 2002).

To capture the dynamic nature of policymaking, Grindle and Thomas (1991) suggest a more complex framework to describe policy development that includes an agenda phase, a decision phase and an implementation phase (Figure 2). At each stage, the framework suggests that a decision can be made for or against the policy. For example, an issue can either be put on the policy agenda or not put on the agenda. At the decision phase, the decision can be for or against policy reform. At any of the three stages, a policy either continues to move forward towards successful implementation, or else it is derailed.

Figure 2 Stages Model of Policymaking



Source: Grindle and Thomas, 1991.

A third type of policy model is reflected as policy streams. Kingdon (1984), suggests that policy change comes about when three streams – problems,

politics and policies – connect. Kingdon’s model shows that while the three streams (problems, policies, and politics) may be operating independently of one another, all three need to come together in order for a policy to emerge. Each of the streams described in Kingdon has its own forces acting upon it and ultimately influencing it. The policy streams model focuses on the importance the timing and the flow of policy actions. The streams do not just meet up by chance, but rather from consistent and sustained action by advocates.

Each of these models has common components – that policies emerge from perceived problems and the role of policymakers and other stakeholders in proposing policies and acting on policy options. Two of the models build in the dynamic and complex nature of policymaking and that the process can get derailed or reversed at any time. However, none capture all of the components of policy that need to be considered in policymaking.


Components of the Policy Circle

The Policy Circle uses “P” designations to help users remember the six main components of policy. Figure 1 depicts the six components of the Policy Circle:

- the **Problems** that arise requiring policy attention
- the **People** who participate in policy and the **Places** they represent
- the **Process** of policy making
- the **Price Tag** of the policy (the cost of policy options and how resources are allocated),
- the **Paper** produced (actual laws and policies)
- the **Programs** that result from implementing policies and, their **Performance** in achieving policy goals and objectives.

Polymaking occurs in varying **political, economic and social setting** that affect how policies are developed and implemented.

The arrows in the Policy Circle are intended to join each of the six components with the other components, to depict the complex and non-linear nature of policy.



The Political, Social, Cultural and Economic Context

Policymaking takes place within greatly varying settings. Countries have different political systems and forms of government (see Box 1), in addition to various economic systems and levels of development. For example, Judice notes that “since Ukraine was part of the Soviet Union, it is a new democracy characterized by fledgling non-governmental and private sectors. These characteristics affect how a policy problem is identified and prioritized, the process of its resolution, and the actual policies and programs that result. As NGOs and the private sector become more distinct and truly independent of the government, the dynamics of policy development and implementation will also change” (Judice, 2004).

Gender dynamics vary considerably around the world; for example, policy prescriptions related to girls education or access to reproductive health services, will vary according to women’s participation in the social domain (Boender et al., 2004; Schuler, 1999). Policymaking differs whether the political situation is stable and the government is working according to business as usual or whether a crisis is precipitating rapid policy change (Grindle and Thomas, 1994). In Kingdon’s (1984) model of policy streams, he defines politics as swings in national mood, vagaries of public opinion, election results, changes in administrations, shifts in partisan or ideological distributions and interest group pressure. The international political context is also important in the national policy process, as noted below.

Box 1 Government Types and their General Characteristics

There are many different types of governments around the world, each with unique characteristics. The two democratic types found most commonly are the parliamentary and presidential systems.

The parliamentary system is a common system of government in which the executive branch (i.e. the Cabinet) is dependent on the Parliament’s approval. This system results in a less clear cut division between the Parliament (or legislature) and the executive branch. In this system the head of government as Prime Minister; the head of state tends to be a premier or president. The judiciary tends to be independent from the executive and parliament, but in some circumstances the judiciary can be influenced by the parliament or other leaders.

A presidential system, in which the president is both the head of state and head of government, tends to have a more distinct division between the legislature and the executive branch, as the president is not entirely dependent on the legislature. In the presidential system, the judiciary is usually independent of the other two branches. However, the executive and legislative branches of government do tend exert some influence over the judiciary in the ways members of the judiciary branch are appointed and approved.

Authoritarian systems, which are not discussed in this paper, tend to concentrate the power over all types of policy in the hands of one person or a small group. The ways in which an individual or group can influence policy in this situation are restricted. This paper attempts to cover different country government situations, but not to represent any particular type of government.

Social settings and cultural practices can vary not only between countries but also within countries, affecting all components of the Policy Circle, as shown in a recent assessment of the status, issues, policies, and programs related to adolescent and youth reproductive health care in 13 countries in Asia and the Near East that included countries as diverse of Yemen in the Near East to Cambodia in South East Asia. The assessment concluded that “Adolescent and youth RH in the ANE region is influenced in great part by the traditional cultural and religious norms and values that pervade and dictate both family communication and national policymaking” (Hardee, Pine, and Taggart, 2004: 41).

The Problem

- ❖ **The problem is at the center of policymaking. Problems requiring policy attention abound and can be identified from many sources.**

The Policy Circle begins with the problem that needs to be addressed through policy. Although policies are not always evidence-based, analysis of information is a key aspect of all of the “P”s of the policy circle, beginning with problem identification. Problems can be identified through various means, but usually involve data to show that some issue is a problem, for example, that maternal mortality is too high, the contraceptive prevalence rate has stalled, teen pregnancy is rising, current laws hamper the importation of antiretroviral (ARV) drugs, or people living with HIV/AIDS are facing stigma and discrimination in the workplace.

Effective presentation of technical evidence should be an underpinning of any effort to change policy – to measure the extent of the problem and to suggest feasible and cost-effective policy responses. Donor organizations, particularly USAID, have long supported national level data collection of population, health and more recently HIV/AIDS data that has been crucial for bringing family planning, maternal health and HIV/AIDS issues to policymakers.

Policy projects such as RAPID (Rapid Assessment of Population Impacts on Development), begun in the 1970s, have proved highly successful in convincing policymakers of the importance of addressing population growth in their countries. For example, since 2001, the president Museveni of Uganda has relied in large part on information on reproductive health and development that is derived from the RAPID Model for his public statements, such as to the UN General Assembly Special Session on Children in May 2002, when he used projections generated by the RAPID model to state the need for attention to “ensuring child health and nutrition; lowering the infant mortality rate; ensuring universal schooling for children of primary school age; safe motherhood; and child spacing and family planning” (POLICY Project results database, 2003).

Data on incidence and prevalence of HIV/AIDS and the enormous impact of HIV on individuals and countries played an important role in convincing policymakers worldwide that the problem must be tackled through various policy responses. For example, results of the AIDS Impact Model (AIM) application in Mozambique were incorporated into the Ministry of Education HIV/AIDS Impact Assessment, published in 2000 (POLICY Project results database, 2003).

Often multiple policies will need to be created or reformed to solve a given problem. Identifying these types of needs is also considered during problem definition. Sometimes, additional data will be needed to clarify a perceived problem before it can be addressed through policy. In Ukraine, policy development group identified a number of issues that impeded implementation of the 2001-2005 National Reproductive Health Program. Some issues were sufficiently clear to develop policies, while others, including a number of questions about the efficiency of the health system, needed further study (Mostipan et al., 2004). A complex problem, which requires multiple policies to address it, will also need multiple data sources to support it.

Analysis Tools for problem identification

A number of tools are available to assist in problem identification. National surveys, particularly those conducted periodically offer policy- and program-relevant information. National surveys are conducted on a range of topics related to family planning, reproductive, safe motherhood, and

HIV/AIDS, in addition to the social and economic conditions in a country. Such surveys include, among others, the Demographic and Health Surveys, Reproductive Health Surveys, HIV/AIDS Indicator Surveys, Behavioral Surveillance Surveys, Multiple Indicator Cluster Surveys, and Living Standards Surveys. Smaller studies and qualitative assessments, of the human rights, gender equity, or contraceptive security situation for example, also provide policy-relevant information. These data can be used in computer projection/simulation models to identify problems. Box 2 shows an illustrative list of policy analysis tools to identify “problems.”

Box 2	
Illustrative List of Tools to Identify the Problem	
SPECTRUM	The SPECTRUM models project the need for RH services and the consequences of not addressing those needs. <i>Software is available at www.policyproject.com</i>
Estimation and Projection Package (EPP)	EPP is used to estimate and project adult HIV prevalence from surveillance data. <i>Software available at: www.unaids.org</i>
Policy Environment Score (PES)	PES measures the degree to which a country's policy environment contributes to improvements in the RH status of the population. <i>Publications available at: www.policyproject.com</i>
Expanded Adolescent Reproductive Health (ARH) PES module	<i>Publications are available on the Policy Circle CD</i>
AIDS Program Effort Index (API)	API measures program effort in the response to the HIV/AIDS pandemic. <i>Publications available at: www.policyproject.com</i>
Maternal & Neonatal Program Effort Index (MNPI)	MNPI provides country-specific data on maternal and neonatal health programs. <i>Publications available at: www.policyproject.com</i>
Human rights approach	The Human Rights Approach outlines the POLICY Project's steps for assessing human rights in a given country. <i>Publication is available on the Policy Circle CD.</i>
Demographic Health Survey (DHS)	<i>Available at www.measuredhs.com</i>
Gender analysis	“Exploring Concepts of Gender and Health.” This tool has been developed by Health Canada (see section 6). <i>Available at: http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/english/women/exploringconcepts.htm</i>
Advocacy Manual	Networking for Policy Change: An Advocacy Training Manual (see sections 2.2 and 2.3). <i>Publications available at: www.policyproject.com</i>
Youth Friendly Reproductive Health Services	Assessing and Planning for Youth-Friendly Reproductive Health Services by the Focus on Young Adults Project, Pathfinder International. <i>Information available at www.pathfind.org</i>
Strategic Pathway to Reproductive Health Commodity Security (SPARCHS)	This tool, with a focus on meeting national RH objectives, assesses supply conditions, future needs, and assists in the development of strategies and action plans. <i>Information available on Policy Circle CD.</i>
Checklist for Determining Priority Operational Barriers to be Addressed	Found in: Reforming Operational Policies: A Pathway to Improving Reproductive Health Programs. <i>Publications available at: www.policyproject.com</i>
What Works series of modules	<i>Safe motherhood publication available at: www.policyproject.com; PAC available mid-2004; STI/HIV/AIDS and FP publications available 2005.</i>
A Framework To Identify Gender Indicators For Reproductive Health and Nutrition Programming	<i>Publication available http://www.dec.org/pdf_docs/PNACR626.pdf</i>
Note: For more detailed description of the tools see Appendix 1 or see the Policy Circle CD. All tools available on the CD will be added to the Policy Circle site at www.policyproject.com	

The People who Participate in Policy and the Places (Institutions) They Represent

- ❖ **Once a problem has been identified, it is vital to understand the people (or stakeholders) who participate in the process of policymaking, the places inside and outside the government that they represent in policymaking, their views on the “problem”, and the various roles they play in policymaking.**

People: Individual stakeholders

Individual stakeholders (the people involved in policymaking) and the institutions (the places) they represent are central to policymaking. Individual stakeholders involved come from within the government and from outside of government. A stakeholder is “an individual or group that makes a difference, or that can affect .or be affected by the achievement of the organization’s objectives” (Freeman, 1984; Mitroff 1983; Mitchell et al., 1997; in Brinkerhoff and Crosby, 2002).

Public sector individual stakeholders can include politicians (heads of state and legislators), government bureaucrats and technocrats from various sectors (e.g., health, education, finance, local government) and public sector staff who implement programs. Stakeholders from the nongovernmental (NGO) sector can include representatives from civil society organizations, support groups (e.g., from groups of people living with HIV/AIDS, women’s health advocacy groups, or from networks of these groups), or from faith-based organizations. They can be researchers and others such as personalities in the media. Individual beneficiaries of policy can also be involved in calling for policy change.

Places: Stakeholder Institutions

The institutions involved in policymaking are closely linked with the individual policy stakeholders. It is important to know the roles and responsibilities of the various institutions, e.g. how is a policy submitted for approval? Which institutions can draft policies? What institutions can promulgate policies or pass laws?

Various parts of the government clearly play key roles in formal policymaking, including the executive branch (the head of state and the ministerial or departmental agencies of government), the legislative branch (the parliament, congress or equivalent), and the judiciary branch. Local governments have their own policymaking structures, if they have decentralized authority to do so. In addition, the parts of government in which policies are carried out also play important roles in policymaking – for example, the need for a policy may emanate from the Ministry of Health or from another ministry. The strength of institutions involved in policymaking can have a direct impact on the success of the policies and programs.

Institutions outside the government play a role in policymaking by acting as advocates for policy change (civil society groups, grassroots organizations, NGOs, and advocacy groups), by providing data for decision making (academic and research organizations), and by providing funding (donor organizations) for policy research, policy dialogue and formulation, and implementation. Finally, international organizations also play a role in supporting – and influencing – policymaking.

An analysis of the structure of government as it relates to policymaking conducted in Ukraine in preparation for developing a national reproductive health strategy addressed decision making in the

Parliament, in the Administration of the President, in the Cabinet of Ministers and in the Ministry of Health. The assessment also included research organizations and women's organizations with influence on reproductive health policy (Kohyt and Lakiza-Sachuk, 1999). To limit the stakeholder analysis only to government and official policy makers ignores the role that other groups have in policy development and formulation. A similar assessment in Jamaica outlined the steps to passing a law and policy in that country – steps that few stakeholders actually understand (Subaran and Hardee, 2001).

The expanded role of non-governmental stakeholders in policy

In the past, policymaking was concentrated in the hands of policymakers and a few influential people/organizations outside government. Over the past decade, policymaking has increasingly included the participation of a wider range of stakeholders outside of government. Nongovernmental stakeholders participate as through advocacy, representation in government bodies, consultation and policy dialogue with policymakers and participation on coordination mechanisms (UNFPA, 1999). Family planning, reproductive health, safe motherhood, and HIV/AIDS policymaking includes a broad range of government (including from the central and decentralized levels) and civil society stakeholders who play different roles in the process. Omitting groups of people living with HIV/AIDS (PLHA) from policy formulation in ARVs runs the risk of developing an unrealistic, unfeasible policy.

Strong evidence of the role of NGOs and civil society came during the preparations for the 1994 International Conference on Population and Development when women's health advocates and other civil society organizations were instrumental in reshaping the family planning agenda to include reproductive health and rights more broadly. Their participation ensured that the Programme of Action (POA) was fundamentally different than POAs at previous international conferences on population and development at which policy deliberations were more the purview of official government delegations (UNFPA, 1999; Ashford and Noble, 1996).

Including civil society groups in reproductive health policymaking and multisectoral participation in Latin America has resulted in agendas that are more oriented to the needs of stakeholders (POLICY Project, 2000). Youth participation has been heralded as a key to developing and implementing policies for youth (UNFPA, 2003). Examples from Nigeria and Jamaica show that youth participation can improve policies and programs (POLICY, 2004a and 2004b). In both countries, multisectoral groups were involved in developing youth policies and strategic plans.

In the AIDS policy arena, the GIPA Principle has highlighted the need for Greater involvement of People Living with HIV/AIDS (PLHA) in policymaking and program implementation (UNAIDS, 1999; UNGASS 2002). UNAIDS has developed a continuum of participation which culminates at the top with involvement in policymaking (UNAIDS, 1999). Advocates and activists have played an enormous role over the past few years in getting AIDS treatment available in developing countries at an affordable price (www.tac.org.za; AFSC, 2003).

Zimbabwe encouraged participation during the development of its HIV/AIDS policy. Progress toward a national HIV/AIDS policy did not formally begin until the creation of a Steering Committee in 1994. The Steering Committee, charged with planning the process and providing leadership, was composed of representatives from a variety of sectors, including universities, the Attorney General's Office, PLHA, NGOs, and the National AIDS Control Program. The committee solicited a great deal of input from the public and made significant attempts to widely circulate draft documents, even printing drafts in newspapers to ensure widespread readership. In

forums held in seven provincial workshops, over 4,500 people participated in a discussion of the policy (Stover, 1999).

In some cases, nongovernment organizations actually draft policies for governments and ministries. For example, in Haiti, the Child Health Institute (IHE) drafted the National Strategic HIV/AIDS Plan in December 2001 and submitted it to Haiti's Ministry of Health for approval (POLICY Project results database, 2003). Allowing NGOs to participate in the drafting of national policies contributes to developing technically sound policies and stakeholder agreement on the problem definitions and solutions.

International organizations and the nations that fund family planning, reproductive health and HIV/AIDS programs are also important stakeholders in policy development and implementation. Donor funds often drive policy agendas. The US government's 2003 announcement of a presidential initiative to provide US \$15 billion funds for 14-15 countries hard hit by the HIV epidemic is likely to have an enormous effect on how HIV/AIDS policies are shaped in coming years in those – and other – and other countries (President's Emergency Plan, 2004).

Box 3 describes the role participation played in policymaking related to HIV/AIDS at the state level in Mexico.

Box 3
People and Places
Participation in Decentralized HIV/AIDS Policymaking in Mexico

As part of the Ministry of Health's decentralization plan, Mexico's National AIDS Council, CONASIDA, transferred many of its functions from the central to the state level. Adapting UNAIDS materials, the POLICY Project helped form groups composed of a broad range of stakeholders already working on HIV/AIDS issues and cooperatively develop an integrated strategic plan for HIV/AIDS. Prior to beginning any activities in a given state, steps would be taken to ensure broad support for the process, understanding of the policy environment, and the involvement of those working in related fields.

Steps included policy dialogue with the State Secretary of Health to gain support for opening the process to civil society, conducting a comprehensive stakeholder analysis, evaluating the AIDS policy environment, and holding a press conference to involve the media in the process. Workshops were held in which participants were trained in strategic planning methods and were given presentations on a variety of issues, such as gender, human rights, homosexual/bisexual men, as well as the current responses to HIV/AIDS in their state. The workshop participants then agreed to form planning groups and continue activities together. As a result, groups with opposing views, such as the Catholic Church and gay rights organizations have been brought together at planning workshops and have continued to work cooperatively on HIV/AIDS issues. Moreover, budget line items for HIV/AIDS have increased in some areas in addition to outreach and program activities (POLICY Project, 2000).

The importance of high level support and policy champions

High level support within government is crucial for policy change to occur (see Box 4). In their eight-country study, Lush et al., found that, "Countries with an earlier and greater commitment to population policies and family planning programs were characterized by the formation of coalitions of senior policymakers who were able to identify coherent rationales, share political risk, and, therefore, become important contributors to the sustainability of population policies. This process

was influenced by a number of different factors: strong leadership by key individuals, a low level of organized opposition, and continuous institutional and financial support” (Lush et al., 2000: 21).

Box 4

Evidence-based Advocacy on HIV/AIDS by Policy Champions in Mali

The President of Mali made extensive use of the AIDS Impact Model (AIM), the portion of the SPECTRUM computer program that projects the impact of HIV/AIDS on population, in leading public discussions on HIV/AIDS and promoting policy dialogue at national and subnational levels. The president’s use of AIM grew out of the first meeting of an HIV/AIDS presidential advisory group in which the MOH presented the AIM-based information. The president called a second meeting and invited members of the private commercial sector for the purpose of sharing the information from AIM to make them aware of the potential impact on their future. During an Armed Forces Day celebration the president drew on a presentation made by the National AIDS Control Program (PNLS) that was based on AIM

At the president’s request the PNLS and the Minister of Health prepared a video based on the AIM presentation, and the president’s office organized an HIV/AIDS Advocacy Day in the town of Banamba on May 27, 2002. The president presided over the event, which was attended by an estimated 3,000 people, 10 Ministers of State, mayors, ambassadors (including the U.S. ambassador), about 600 village leaders from the surrounding area, and representatives of NGOs and international agencies, including USAID. The video served as a basis for discussion on trends and projections of HIV prevalence. The president stated that despite Mali’s relatively low prevalence (1.7%), the country would suffer serious consequences if it did not increase efforts to combat AIDS, and pointed to the experience of other countries where low prevalence of HIV had gone unchecked and grown to epidemic proportions. Afterwards, two well-known religious leaders spoke for the first time on live television and radio about the HIV/AIDS epidemic and the need for the religious community to play an active role in reducing the epidemic, drawing on the information from the video.

The President of Mali and the Minister of Health also used the information produced by the AIM in addressing the more than 200 religious leaders who attended an event in 2002. Following the workshop, imams and preachers cited the HIV/AIDS figures to speak out on the impact of HIV/AIDS on development in Mali in their places of worship. Religious leaders used the information on television, including an August 25, 2002 Malian TV show, “Actualite Hebdomadaire,” on which they appeared as the principal guests. Population Services International (PSI) used the AIM results in Segou (August 6–7, 2002) with religious leaders, and in Sikasso (August 27–28, 2002) for an advocacy workshop. (POLICY results database, 2003).

Policy champions who are committed to promoting a policy issue are important advocates for policy development and reform. Policy champions can come from any stakeholder group; what is important is that they have access to key decision makers. Generally, the higher level the policy champion, the more likely the impact on policy related to an issue. For example, Uganda’s President Museveni is widely credited for playing a key leadership role in reducing HIV prevalence in that country during the 1990s, in part by continually mentioning the epidemic in public speeches and signaling to the country that his government was (and still is) serious about reversing the spread of HIV/AIDS in the country (Hogle et al., 2002).

Analysis tools for people/places

A number of tools are available to analyze the people involved and the places they represent (see Box 5). As problems are being identified, conducting a *stakeholder analysis* or using *political mapping* can be useful to determine which groups will benefit and which may not benefit from different policy decisions. This information is useful to ensure that policies will be embraced and implemented. Understanding the steps to passing a law or policy identifies which institutions must be involved in the policymaking.

Box 5	
Illustrative List of Tools for Analyzing People and Places	
Stakeholder analysis matrix	<i>Publication is available on the Policy Circle CD</i>
Stakeholder Analysis	Tool developed by the PHRplus Project, available at: http://www.phrplus.org/Pubs/hts3.pdf
Political Mapping	Tools available at: http://www.polimap.com/
HIV/AIDS Toolkit	The toolkit contains five modules to assist activists interested in increasing political commitment for effective HIV/AIDS policies and programs. <i>Toolkit available at: www.policyproject.com</i>
Advocacy Manual	Networking for Policy Change: An Advocacy Training Manual (see sections Section 2). <i>Publications available at: www.policyproject.com</i>
Understanding steps to passing law or policy	<i>Publications are available on the Policy Circle CD</i>
Policy Environment Score (PES)	PES measures the degree to which a country's policy environment contributes to improvements in the RH status of the population. <i>Publications available at: www.policyproject.com</i>
Expanded Adolescent Reproductive Health (ARH) PES module	<i>Publications are available on the Policy Circle CD</i>
AIDS Program Effort Index (API)	API measures program effort in the response to the HIV/AIDS pandemic. <i>Publications available at: www.policyproject.com</i>
Maternal & Neonatal Program Effort Index (MNPI)	MNPI provides country-specific data on maternal and neonatal health programs. <i>Publications available at: www.policyproject.com</i>
National-Level Decision Making on Reproductive Health	<i>Publication is available on the Policy Circle CD</i>
Stakeholder participation in the national HIV/AIDS policy process	<i>Publication is available on the Policy Circle CD</i>
Advocacy tools and guidelines: promoting policy change manual	<i>Available at: www.careusa.org/getinvolved/advocacy/policyresources.asp</i>
Note: For more detailed description of the tools see Appendix 1 or see the Policy Circle CD. All tools available on the CD will be added to the Policy Circle site at www.policyproject.com	

The Process: Policy Development

- ❖ **The process of policymaking includes:**
 - **Framing the “problem” (*Issues framing*)**
 - **Getting the issue on the policy agenda (*Agenda setting*)**
 - **Formulating the policy (*Policy Formulation*)**
- ❖ **Activities associated with the process include:**
 - ***Advocacy* for the issue to be addressed through policy and how**
 - ***Policy dialogue* on what the policy will include**
 - ***Data analysis* to aid each step of the process**

Process steps – issues framing, agenda setting, and policy formulation

Once a problem requiring a policy solution has been identified, the process of policy development includes how the problem is framed by various stakeholders (issues framing), which problems make it onto the policymaking agenda, and how the policy (or law) is formulated. Together, these steps, often not conducted in a linear fashion, determine whether a problem or policy proposal is acted on. Activities in policy development include advocacy and policy dialogue by stakeholders and data analysis to support each step of the process.

Issues framing. The way a problem is stated or an issue is framed influences the types of solutions that are proposed. Often, policy stakeholders take different sides of an issue, such as adolescent reproductive health and how to address the problem of teen pregnancy. Some policy stakeholders perceive that teen pregnancy should be addressed through abstinence only education for young people, while others see the need for comprehensive sex and reproductive health education and access to means of protection against pregnancy and disease. Some might argue that teen pregnancy is not a problem or is a logical response to a given set of health and cultural conditions. Similarly stakeholders have also taken various views on issues related to reducing maternal mortality. Debates have centered, for example, around the role traditional birth attendants can or cannot play in reducing maternal mortality and whether or not safe motherhood programs should focus primarily on providing emergency obstetric care or on wider components, such as antenatal care and nutrition (Gay et al., 2003).

The HIV/AIDS epidemic has sparked considerable policy debate, with various stakeholders holding different views on the appropriate policy responses. For example, when ARV treatment was becoming more affordable, even in developing countries, some stakeholders contended that prevention should remain the primary programmatic response and that introducing ARVs on a wide scale would not be feasible. Now, as the ARV drugs become more available in developing countries, the role of prevention programs is under debate. Some stakeholders take the view that prevention should focus on reaching those who are already infected, while others consider that working with people not yet infected is still important. Likewise, the policy debate on reducing HIV among intravenous drug users continues. Some stakeholders promote harm reduction strategies, including needle exchange programs, while others favor cracking down on drug users to stop the illegal activity.

Sometimes stakeholders take the same position on an issue, but for very different reasons. Views on China's population policy is a case in point. Both conservatives and women's health advocacy groups oppose China's one-child policy – conservatives contend that the policy promotes abortion and women's health advocacy groups contend that the policy infringes on women's rights and choice (Kaufman, 2003).

Issue framing influences stakeholders' ability of getting the issue on the policymakers' agenda so that a problem is recognized and policy response is debated. Issue framing often sets the terms for policy debate. "The eventual fate of a policy proposal is also a function of how it is formulated in the first place—how it defines the problems to be attacked and what it offers in the way of policy solutions" (Porter, 1995, p.15). Reich cites an example of how the framing of an issue can affect its chances of becoming policy.

"[E]fforts to reform the health system in 1996 in the Dominican Republic were designed to transform the state's role from direct service provider to financer and regulator. Similar approaches were adopted at the time in many Latin American countries, with financial support from the multilateral development banks. In the Dominican Republic, however, the press interpreted these efforts as 'privatization' of health services, and the supporters of health reform were unable to create an alternative to public perception of the plan. This perception of the proposed policy created a strong reluctance among both politicians and bureaucrats to support the reform—especially when opposition arose from the powerful medical association and from NGOs active the in health field" (Reich, 2002, p.141; from Glassman et al., 1999).

Agenda setting refers to actually getting the "problem," on the formal policy agenda of issues to be addressed by presidents, members of cabinet, parliament, or congress, or ministers of health, finance, education or other relevant ministry.

Stakeholders outside of government can suggest issues to be addressed by policymakers, but government policymakers must become engaged in the process for a problem to be formally addressed through policy. Government policymaking bodies "can only do so much in its available time period, such as the calendar day, the term of office, or the legislative session. The items which make it to the agenda pass through a competitive selection process, and not all problems will be addressed. Inevitably, some will be neglected, which means that some constituency will be denied. Among the potential agenda items are holdovers from the last time period or a reexamination of policies already implemented which may be failing" (Hayes, 2001).

At any given time, policymakers are paying serious attention to relatively few of all possible issues or problems facing them as national or sub-national policymakers. In decentralized systems, sometimes issues are placed on the agenda of various levels of government simultaneously in order to coordinate policymaking. For example, "reproductive health is on the concurrent legislative list in Nigeria, and therefore, the three tiers of government, including the states and local governments, are expected to formulate independent policies to guide their programs and service delivery" (POLICY, 2004a).

Altman and Petkus (1994, p. 42) note that "as problems become salient issues, and as individuals or groups begin to take action, legislators place the problems on the policy agenda." Starting in the 1950s, it took many years for population issues to reach the policy agenda in many countries; likewise, safe motherhood and HIV have taken time to be accorded space on the policy agenda around the world (UN, 2003; Stover and Johnston, 1999; Stars, 1998). But with clear issue

framing and strong evidence to substantiate the problem, stakeholders have been able to set the critical issue on the policy agenda.

Policy formulation. Policy formulation is the part of the process by which proposed actions are articulated, debated and drafted into language for a law or policy. Written policies and laws go through many drafts before they are final. Wording that is not acceptable to policymakers key to passing laws or policies is revised. For example, a policy in Jamaica to support providers to serve minors (under the age of consent) went through numerous drafts over a period of two years before it was passed in 2003. The final version of the policy contained more reference to promoting abstinence than did the first version. International conference declarations and programmes of action also go through iterations during formulation. Leading up to the 1994 ICPD in Cairo, the draft Programme of Action contained “bracketed” text that required negotiation and policy dialogue among stakeholders from around the world in order for the final document could be ratified.

Policy formulation includes setting goals and outcomes of the policy or policies (Isaacs and Irvin, 1991; Health Canada, 2003). The goals and objectives may be general or narrow, but should articulate the relevant activities and indicators by which they will be achieved and measured. The goals of a policy could include, for example, the creation of greater employment opportunities, improved health status, or increased access to reproductive health services. Policy outcomes could include ensuring access to ARV treatment for HIV in the workplace, or access to emergency obstetric care for pregnant women. Goals and outcomes can be assessed through a number of lenses, including gender and equity considerations.

Activities related to the process – advocacy, policy dialogue and analysis of evidence

While issues framing, agenda setting, and policy formulation are stages that policies go through, each of these stages can include a number of activities, namely advocacy, policy dialogue and analysis of evidence related to the problem and policy responses.

Advocacy and policy dialogue, preferably drawing on the participation by a range of stakeholders, are used to convince policymakers to address a problem, debate various solutions, and decide on specific policy actions. Advocacy is built on the formation of networks, the identification of political opportunities, and the organization of campaigns. *Networking for Policy Change* (POLICY, 1999), an advocacy training manual, provides details about the role of network and advocacy in bring about change. Stories from people and organizations involved in advocacy (e.g. women’s groups, PLHA, and faith based organizations) for HIV highlight some of the key advocacy moments in HIV/AIDS in Africa, Latin America and Asia according to those who have been engaged in advocacy work in communities around the world (POLICY 2003).

The media can also play an influential role in advocacy and policy dialogue by highlighting issues that need to be addressed or bringing public discourse to issues that are already on the agenda (Bacot, Fitzgerald, and McCabe, 1991; Henning and Mangun, 1989; Anderson, 1979; in Altman and Petkus, 1994). The media often provides symbolic understandings of policy issues and also serve as gatekeepers, deciding which issues will receive public attention and which will not (Porter, 1995).

Both advocacy and policy dialogue are important for policy. In advocacy, stakeholders promote issues and their positions on the issues. Policy dialogue involves discussions among stakeholders to raise issues, share perspectives, find common ground, and to reach agreement or consensus, if possible, on policy solutions. Policy dialogue takes place between policymakers and advocates or other nongovernmental stakeholders, between and political parties and between governments and

beneficiaries (see VSI, 2002 for a code of good practice on policy dialogue between the government and civil society, produced in Canada).

Box 6 provides an example of the role of advocacy in reproductive health policy reform in Guatemala.

Box 6
Advocacy
Reproductive Health Policy Reform in Guatemala

Historically, Guatemala has been one of the least supportive countries in the world of FP/RH rights and services. Seventeen NGOs and 14 opinion leaders in Guatemala, many of which were supporting reproductive health publicly for the first time, issued a press release in February 2001, in support of the National Reproductive Health Program. The press release followed an advocacy campaign conducted by the Guatemalan Association of Medical Women (AGMM), the Center of Legal Action for Human Rights (CALDH), and the Network of Women for Building Peace. Following congressional approval of the law in September, the multisectoral group of organizations involved in formulating and lobbying for the law rapidly initiated a new phase of advocacy designed to avoid a potential presidential veto that was requested by the Catholic Church.

A campaign to put pressure on the President for final passage of the law, entitled "Social Development Law: civil society opinion," was organized by the Women's Network for Peace, the Guatemalan Association of Gynecology and Obstetrics (AGOG), and AGMM. In October, 2001 President Portillo ratified the passage of the "Law of Social Development" (Population and Development), which, for the first time ever, sets forth a legal framework for work in population and development in the country. The existence and acceptance of this new legal framework will greatly strengthen FP/RH programs in the country and will help ensure their sustainability into the future (POLICY Project results database).

Data analysis. Data analysis in the *Process* component of the Policy Circle is more complex than at problem identification because policymakers weigh their decisions on a number of criteria. Data analysis expands from the technical aspects of an issue and focus on the political costs and benefits of policy reform. Thomas and Grindle (1994) posit that policymakers tend to make their decisions based on a number of criteria, including: 1) the technical merits of the issue, 2) the potential affects of the policy on political relationships within the bureaucracy and between groups in government and their beneficiaries, 3) the potential impact of the policy change on the regime's stability and support, 4) the perceived severity of the problem and whether or not the government is in crisis, and 5) pressure, support or opposition from international aid agencies.

Analysis Tools for Process

A number of tools are available to analyze the process, as indicated in Box 7.

Box 7	
Illustrative List of Tools for Analyzing Process	
Policy Characteristics Checklist	<i>Publication is available on the Policy Circle CD</i>
SPECTRUM	The SPECTRUM models project the need for RH services and the consequences of not addressing those needs. <i>Software is available at www.policyproject.com</i>
GOALS	GOALS, a SPECTRUM module, can be used to improve resource allocation decisions for HIV/AIDS programs at the national level by enhancing the understanding of decision makers. <i>Software available at: www.policyproject.com</i>
Planning and Finance Checklist	<i>Publication is available on the Policy Circle CD</i>
Human Rights Approach	The Human Rights Approach outlines the POLICY Project's steps for assessing human rights in a given country. <i>Publication is available on the Policy Circle CD.</i>
Policy Environment Score (PES)	PES measures the degree to which a country's policy environment contributes to improvements in the RH status of the population. <i>Publications available at: www.policyproject.com</i>
Expanded Adolescent Reproductive Health (ARH) PES module	<i>Publications are available on the Policy Circle CD</i>
Maternal & Neonatal Program Effort Index (MNPI)	MNPI provides country-specific data on maternal and neonatal health programs. <i>Publications available at: www.policyproject.com</i>
Gender analysis	"Exploring Concepts of Gender and Health." This tool has been developed by Health Canada (see section 6). <i>Available at: http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/english/women/exploringconcepts.htm</i>
Advocacy Manual	Networking for Policy Change: An Advocacy Training Manual (see sections 2.1 and 2.2) – <i>Publications available at: www.policyproject.com</i>
Monitoring the Policy Reform Process	A USAID "Recent Practices In Monitoring and Evaluation" publication. <i>Available at: http://www.dec.org/pdf_docs/pnaca949.pdf</i>
AIDS Program Effort Index (API)	API measures program effort in the response to the HIV/AIDS pandemic. <i>Publications available at: www.policyproject.com</i>
Political Mapping	<i>Tools available at: http://www.polimap.com/</i>
Advocacy tools and guidelines: promoting policy change manual	<i>Available at: www.careusa.org/getinvolved/advocacy/policyresources.asp</i>
Note: For more detailed description of the tools see Appendix 1 or see the Policy Circle CD. All tools available on the CD will be added to the Policy Circle site at www.policyproject.com	

The Price Tag

❖ **Policies without resources allocated for implementation do little more than sit on a shelf.**

Price refers to the financial, physical, and human resources that are needed to implement policies, plans and programs. Policies that are well written but that do not have adequate resources for implementation are all too common. In the United States, such policies are often called “unfunded mandates.” Many national reproductive health policies could be given the same label. For example, Ukraine’s National RH Program (2001-2005) “received little funding from the national level, and local RH budgets were insufficient to implement the NRHP in its entirety” (Judice, 2004, p. 1). It is crucial when developing or analyzing a policy to consider the level of resources necessary for proper implementation and whether those resources are already available (and allocated), or need to be added, for more effective policy implementation.

Often, assuring adequate funding for programs becomes the problem to be addressed through policy. Turkey faced a crisis of funding for family planning commodities when the main donor organization announced a phase-out of support to the country starting in 1994. Advocacy, policy dialogue and analysis of the shortfall in funding and implications for the family planning program resulted in a funded line item for contraceptives in the Ministry of Health’s budget (Sine, Clyde and Baser, 2004). In Jordan, the structure of the “General Budget” for 2002 was modified to include a budget line item for reproductive health based on the work of a national five-member Reproductive Health Finance Committee established by the National Population Commission (NPC). The committee was composed of members from the NPC, the Ministry of Finance, the Ministry of Health, and major FP/RH NGOs. The purpose of the committee was to develop a strategy to improve reproductive health financing in Jordan. Following participation in an international conference on reproductive health financing, the committee became an active member of a task force charged with developing the National Reproductive Health Action Plan, which has financial sustainability as one of its six components (POLICY Project results database, 2003).

Resources can become a struggle if annual budgets are determined before the reform occurs, or if there are obstacles in the government bureaucracy (Brinkerhoff and Crosby, 2002). For example, in “Namibia, once HIV/AIDS became part of the national policy agenda, programmatic action was initially delayed until budget cycles freed up resources within the health sector to be reallocated. Later, as HIV/AIDS was recognized as a policy problem with broader ramifications than just health, the health ministry resisted relinquishing control because it meant that others would receive a share of the funds it managed for HIV/AIDS policy implementation” (Brinkerhoff and Crosby, 2002, p.21).

The Minister of Health and Population in Egypt used cost-benefit information in a speech given to the People’s Assembly and in replying to comments from the Health, Population, and Environment Committee of the Parliament in February 2001. The speech was prepared in response to queries about the impact and cost-benefits of the national population and family planning program. The Minister noted that putting money into family planning was a good investment since every Egyptian pound spent on family planning resulted in a savings of 30 pounds that would have to be spent on fulfilling the needs of a growing population. He concluded by requesting an increase in the budget allocations for the population and family planning program (Policy Project results database, 2003).

More recent efforts have gained a better understanding of how many resources will be needed to achieve a desired HIV/AIDS-related goal, and how many resources a particular goal will require to be achieved. In South Africa, the application of the GOALS Model, developed by the Futures Group (www.policyproject.com), has contributed to an increase in the budget of the national government's expenditure on National HIV/AIDS programs from 783.2 million Rand in 2002/3, to 1,144.0 million Rand in 2003/4 to 1,589.4 million Rand for 2004/5. In September 2002, the national Department of Health released a report that outlined revisions to the funding requirements for the "Enhanced Response to HIV/AIDS and Tuberculosis in the Public Health Sector 2003/4–2005/6." The report highlighted how the application of the GOALS Model contributed to developing the government's AIDS budget (Medium-term Expenditure Framework). GOALS served as a basis for increasing the budgets with regard to programs focusing on HIV transmission through sex work, condom provision, and projected care costs. GOALS also confirmed budgetary estimates with regard to prevention of mother-to-child transmission (PMTCT) as well as current spending on care and treatment (POLICY Project results database).

Often, increased resources are not available to address emerging problems. Instead, addressing new problems can require taking resources away from other pressing needs (as is the case with HIV and other reproductive health needs currently). Incorporating the budget process into the framing of a policy can assure feasibility and implementation of a policy.

Analysis Tools for Price Tag

A number of tools are available to analyze the price tag, as indicated in Box 8.

Box 8 Illustrative List of Tools for Analyzing Price Tag	
GOALS	GOALS, a SPECTRUM module, can be used to improve resource allocation decisions for HIV/AIDS programs at the national level by enhancing the understanding of decision makers. <i>Software available at: www.policyproject.com</i>
ALLOCATE	ALLOCATE can be used to improve resource allocation decisions for reproductive health programs at the nation level by enhancing the understand of decision makers. <i>Software available soon at: www.policyproject.com</i>
FamPlan (SPECTRUM)	SPECTRUM's FamPlan module projects family planning requirements to reach national or consumer goals of contraceptive practice or desired fertility. <i>Software is available at www.policyproject.com</i>
Planning and Finance Checklist	<i>Publication is available on the Policy Circle CD</i>
Budgeting for the Reproductive Health and Population Sector	This training module on budgeting was designed to assist governments and organizations working in the RH and population sector to develop an understanding of linking planning and budgeting; learning how to identify the required resources in preparing a budget. <i>Training module is available on the Policy Circle CD.</i>
Willingness To Pay Surveys For Setting Prices for RH Products and Service	<i>Publication available at: www.policyproject.com</i>
Resource Needs Model (RNM)	An Excel worksheet for calculating the funding required for an expanded response to HIV/AIDS at the national level. It includes 14 prevention programs, six care and treatment programs and orphan support.
Strategic Planning for the RH and Population Sector: Training Module	<i>Training module is available on the Policy Circle CD.</i>
Note: For more detailed description of the tools see Appendix 1 or see the Policy Circle CD. All tools available on the CD will be added to the Policy Circle site at www.policyproject.com	

The Paper: Policies, Laws and Regulations

- ❖ **Policy formulation culminates in promulgation of written policies or laws that provide a broad framework for programs.**

Policy documents include laws, national and local policies and plans, operational policies, and resource allocation plans (Cross, Hardee and Jewell, 2001). They also include policies and plans of private sector organizations that support family planning, reproductive health and HIV/AIDS, such as companies' workplace policies for HIV/AIDS. International organizations such as UN agencies also produce policy documents that offer guidance to governments and NGOs on specific issues.

Some policies derive from statements of heads of state or ministers without being formally written down as formal government orders or regulation. In some countries unwritten, traditional procedures and practices are also considered policies.

The "paper" should state clearly what the policy aims to accomplish or facilitate, what the policy stipulates in terms of change or new behavior, which sectors of society benefit from policy change, how much the policy change will cost and who bears the cost, and who will coordinate and implement the policy.

Written policy documents should include:

- Rationale (including a statement of the problem and justification for the policy)
- Goals and objectives (what the policy will achieve, by when)
- Program measures (broad categories of activities)
- Implementation and institutional arrangements (including organizations and ministries involved)
- Funding and other resources (levels and sources, human resources)
- Indicators of success
- Monitoring and evaluation plan

Analysis of the "paper" includes assessing the content with respect to human rights, gender and youth, as appropriate, to ensure that policy documents adhere to the relevant principles related to these areas. Policies can be assessed against international conventions and declarations, e.g. the 1994 ICPD POA, the 1995 Forth World Conference on Women (Bejing), the 2002 UN General Assembly Special Assembly on HIV/AIDS (UNGASS), Millennium Development Goals, and the International Safe Motherhood Initiative agenda (www.safemotherhood.org). Calves (2002) provides a framework for assessing adolescent reproductive health policies, as applied in three sub-Saharan African countries (Burkina Faso, Cameroon, and Togo). The paper presents major elements of ARH policy and program development and sets benchmarks against which future policy and program development can be measured.

Policies differ from strategic plans in that policies are generally broader statements of purpose with goals and expected outcomes. Strategic plans provide more specification of how the policy is to be implemented.

Analysis Tools for the Paper (Policies, Laws and Regulations)

A number of tools are available to analyze the paper, or policy documents, including policies, laws and regulations. The tools are shown in Box 9. Legal and regulatory analysis can be used to assess the content of laws and policies to identify gaps that require reform.

Box 9	
Illustrative List of Tools for Analyzing Paper: Laws, Policies and Regulations	
Legal and Regulatory Checklist	Checklist from "OPTIONS Assessing Legal and Regulatory Reform in Family Planning: Manual on Legal and Regulatory Reform." <i>Checklist is available on the Policy Circle CD.</i>
Summary of Regulations and Policy Issues	"Assessing the Policy Environment: What Influences Population Policy?" This tool describes a framework for assessing the population policy environment. <i>Publication available at: www.poptechproject.com.</i>
Policy Characteristics Checklist	<i>Publication is available on the Policy Circle CD</i>
Policy Environment Score (PES)	PES measures the degree to which a country's policy environment contributes to improvements in the RH status of the population. <i>Publications available at: www.policyproject.com</i>
Expanded Adolescent Reproductive Health (ARH) PES module	<i>Publications are available on the Policy Circle CD</i>
Monitoring the Policy Reform Process	A USAID "Recent Practices In Monitoring and Evaluation" publication. <i>Available at: http://www.dec.org/pdf_docs/pnaca949.pdf</i>
AIDS Program Effort Index (API)	API measures program effort in the response to the HIV/AIDS pandemic. <i>Publications available at: www.policyproject.com</i>
Planning and Finance Checklist	<i>Publication is available on the Policy Circle CD</i>
SPECTRUM	The SPECTRUM models project the need for RH services and the consequences of not addressing those needs. <i>Software is available at www.policyproject.com</i>
HIV/AIDS Legislation Score	<i>Publication is available on the Policy Circle CD</i>
Reproductive Health Legislative Index	<i>Publication is available on the Policy Circle CD</i>
Human Rights Matrix	<i>Available at: www.policyproject.com</i>
Workplace Policy Builder (WPB)	WPB is a computer program used to assist companies/organizations in developing a corporate/organizational HIV/AIDS workplace policy. <i>Software available soon at: www.policyproject.com</i>
Country AIDS Policy Analysis Project	<i>Available at http://ari.ucsf.edu/ARI/policy/countries.htm</i>
Note: For more detailed description of the tools see Appendix 1 or see the Policy Circle CD. All tools available on the CD will be added to the Policy Circle site at www.policyproject.com	

The Programs and Performance: Policy Implementation

“If policy practitioners and reformers want to promote a specific change in sectoral policy, they will need to focus their attention on policy elites within government, as well as on midlevel managers responsible for translating policy directives into programs” (Porter, 1995: 21).

Policies are often broad statements of intention, and as such require supplemental implementation documents, including strategic plans, implementation plans and operational policies, to ensure that the policies are carried out (Walt and Gilson, 1994; Cross, Hardee and Jewell, 2001). Programs are put in place to implement policies. This component of the Policy Circle includes the organizational structure (including the lead implementing agency or body), resources that support program implementation, and activities required to implement the policy through programs. It also includes monitoring and evaluation of performance to assess if goals of the policies and implementation plans have been met.

Box 10 describes the development of a strategic plan to implement the National Reproductive Health Policy in Nigeria.

Box 10 Program Implementation Developing a Strategic Plan in Nigeria

While Nigeria recently promulgated a National Reproductive Health Policy, it lacked the strategies and guidelines for implementing the policy. POLICY worked intensively with the Federal Ministry of Health (FMOH) for over one year. As a result, the ministry adopted the Strategic Framework and Plan for Reproductive Health in June 2002 at a national stakeholders meeting attended by technocrats from various federal ministries, states, NGOs, faith-based organizations, academia, and representatives of several international donor agencies. The strategic plan provides for intervention activities with resource requirements, goals, responsible organizations, and a monitoring and evaluation framework to address priority reproductive health areas, such as family planning, safe motherhood, adolescent reproductive health, and STI/HIV/AIDS. The Minister of State for Health launched the National Reproductive Health Policy and the National Strategic Framework and Plan (POLICY Project results database, 2003).

Policy implementation is political as well as technical. “Besides technical and institutional analysis, it calls for consensus-building, participation or key stakeholders, conflict resolution, compromise, contingency planning, and adaptation” (Brinkerhoff and Crosby, 2002, pp.6). Analysis can help reformers assess the capacity for implementation in a given policy context, and also predict the actions of the various participants involved in the process (Walt and Gilson, 1994). In other words, policy implementation requires the same steps and components of the Policy Circle as policy development.

The process of policy implementation is often delegated to technocrats, who are charged with devising solutions, mobilizing and allocating resources, and ensuring maximum gains. Unlike the chief executive or policy elites, who must address the issues of constituents, technocrats are not bound by political obligations or tradeoffs. On the one hand, this arrangement could lead to a more effective implementation process. However, if the individuals charged with implementation are new to the government (as is often the case in newly created democratic governments), and therefore not knowledgeable of, or limited by, established routines of the government, their lack of knowledge about government operations and bureaucracy could also hinder their efficiency (Brinkerhoff and Crosby, 2002).

Policy implementation is often multi-dimensional, fragmented, and unpredictable. The Implementing Policy Change Project has developed a framework that divides policy implementation into six tasks, some similar to the components of the Policy Circle (USAID Center for Democracy and Governance, 2000; www.usaid.gov/democracy/ipcindex.html).

- The first task is *legitimization*, or getting the policy accepted as important, desirable and worth achieving. For example, family planning policies and programs in some countries where some groups oppose contraception require periodic efforts to generate the support of government leaders.
- The second task is *constituency-building*, or gaining active support from groups that see the policy as desirable or beneficial.
- The third relates to *resources* and the need for ensuring that present and future budgets and human resource allocations are sufficient to support the requirements of policy implementation.
- The fourth focuses on the *organizational structure* as it involves adjusting the objectives, procedures, systems and structures of agencies responsible for policy implementation. Developing or reforming operational policies, such as age limits and spousal authorization, can characterize this step (Cross, Hardee, and Jewell, 2001).
- The fifth is *mobilizing action*, or marshalling committed constituencies to develop action strategies to translate intent into result.
- The sixth and final task is *monitoring impact* to assess the progress of implementation and to alert decision-makers and program managers to implementation snags and intended and unintended consequences of the policy.

The tasks follow a roughly sequential order, and can therefore help to assess the position of the process at any given time, allowing for a view of what steps remain. Using the framework in conjunction with various tools such as stakeholder analysis, can help to point out potential problems and obstacles to achieving policy reform (Brinkerhoff and Crosby, 2002).

Monitoring and evaluation systems and indicators should be built in to measure the achievement (or performance) of policies and associated programs.

Analysis Tools for the Programs/Performance

A number of tools are available to analyze the programs that are developed to implement the policy and the performance of the programs. The tools are shown in Box 11.

Box 11	
Illustrative List of Tools for Analyzing Programs/Performance	
Checklist for Determining Priority Operational Barriers to be Addressed and Steps to Address Operational Barriers	Both found in: Reforming Operational Policies: A Pathway to Improving Reproductive Health Programs. <i>Publications available at:</i> www.policyproject.com
Planning and Finance Checklist	<i>Publication is available on the Policy Circle CD</i>
Policy Environment Score (PES)	PES measures the degree to which a country's policy environment contributes to improvements in the RH status of the population. <i>Publications available at:</i> www.policyproject.com
Expanded Adolescent Reproductive Health (ARH) PES module	<i>Publications are available on the Policy Circle CD</i>
Maternal & Neonatal Program Effort Index (MNPI)	MNPI provides country-specific data on maternal and neonatal health programs. <i>Publications available at:</i> www.policyproject.com
AIDS Program Effort Index (API)	API measures program effort in the response to the HIV/AIDS pandemic. <i>Publications available at:</i> www.policyproject.com
Monitoring the Policy Reform Process	A USAID "Recent Practices In Monitoring and Evaluation" publication. <i>Available at:</i> www.dec.org/pdf_docs/pnaca949.pdf
Quick Investigation of Quality (QIQ)	<i>Available at:</i> www.cpc.unc.edu/measure/publications/qiq.html
Situation Analysis	<i>Available at:</i> www.popcouncil.org/rhfp/sitanly.html
Performance Improvement	<i>Available at:</i> www.jhpiego.org/global/pi.htm
Maximize Access and Quality (MAQ)	<i>Available at:</i> www.maqweb.org/
Evaluation indicators	<i>Available at:</i> www.cpc.unc.edu/measure/publications/pdf/ms-95-02.pdf and www.cpc.unc.edu/measure/publications/un aids-00.17e
A Framework To Identify Gender Indicators For Reproductive Health and Nutrition Programming	<i>Publication available</i> http://www.dec.org/pdf_docs/PNACR626.pdf
Implementing Policy Change	<i>Publications available at:</i> www.usaid.gov/democracy/ipcindex.html
Country AIDS Policy Analysis Project	<i>Available at</i> http://ari.ucsf.edu/ARI/policy/countries.htm
Note: For more detailed description of the tools see Appendix 1 or see the Policy Circle CD. All tools available on the CD will be added to the Policy Circle site at www.policyproject.com	

Linking the Policy Circle with Attributes that Influence Policy Change

Sutton (1999), based on a review of the policy literature, offers a list of 21 indications of “what makes policies happen.” When the list is reorganized it shows that the 21 indications fall into the components of the Policy Circle (Box 12). This list of issues shows that the components of the Policy Circle link with each other (e.g. problem identification and issues framing, people/policy champions and agenda setting).

Box 12

Linking What Makes Policies Happen to the Policy Circle

Problem identification

- ⇒ A new ground-breaking piece of research is completed which defines a problem and clarifies appropriate courses of action to remedy it (*linked with issues framing and policy formulation*).
- ⇒ A development problem is analyzed in a scientific, technical way, producing tangible data that offer something concrete to act on (*linked with issues framing and policy formulation*).
- ⇒ There is general consensus within an organization or wider network (which may include the general public) that change is needed, a new policy direction is required, and that old strategies are not working as well as they could (*links with issues framing*).
- ⇒ Timing is such that the publication of research work happens when a policymaking organization is particularly interested in the issue being researched (*links with issues framing and agenda setting*).

People/places

- ⇒ There are good connections between interested parties such as aid organizations, the research community, and government (making a ‘network’) through which ideas are exchanged and thoughts clarified about possible policy directions (*links with policy dialogue*).
- ⇒ There is a dominant epistemic community, a particularly influential group that has close links with policymakers, and forces an issue on to the agenda and shapes policymaking (*links with issues framing and agenda setting*).
- ⇒ A person in authority has a particular interest in a certain issue and as a result those around him/her are influenced to work and develop policy in that area (*policy champion and high level support; links with agenda setting*).
- ⇒ Events are timed in such a way that a person who is particularly interested in pushing forward an agenda is working at a time when a powerful political authority has reason to be interested in the same agenda (*policy champion and high level support; links with agenda setting*).
- ⇒ An organization and the individuals within it are open-minded and consider it important to adapt to new ideas from the external world, rather than seeing these as a threat (*linked to social, political and cultural context*).
- ⇒ An organization fosters innovation. People are encouraged to develop new ways of doing things and are confident that their ideas will be considered with an open mind by others (*linked to social, political and cultural context*).
- ⇒ There are good links between and within agencies whereby lessons learned from practical experience can be shared and acted upon (*linked with issues framing*).

Box 12, continued
Linking What Makes Policies Happen to the Policy Circle

Process

Issues framing

- ⇒ A development problem is turned into a 'story' which simplifies it and sets out an agenda for action.
- ⇒ A dominant discourse or way of thinking becomes established which makes clear certain priorities, thereby simplifying a situation and providing guidance towards certain policy directions.
- ⇒ There is a code of conduct or best practice regarding a particular issue, creating guidelines as to how to act.

Advocacy/policy dialogue

- ⇒ There is an individual or a group of people who have an idea for a new policy direction. These 'change agents' carry the idea forward, explaining it to others and building a consensus towards the new position (*linked with problem identification and people – policy champion*)
- ⇒ There is a network of people around the 'change agents' who will respond to them and help them carry the process forward (*links with people - policy champion*)

Price tag

- ⇒ Resources within an organization exist, or can be gathered together, to respond to a new way of working.
- ⇒ There is the required motivation and energy to use and mobilize these resources to achieve the goals of a policy innovation.

Paper

- ⇒ No specific items in the list of issues related to what makes policies happen; that a policy statement emerges (or does not emerge) is assumed.

Program/performance

- ⇒ Policymaking and implementing bodies have sufficient authority to push a new policy through even if it is not widely supported.
- ⇒ An organization as a sufficiently flexible organizational structure to enable the development of new groups or units, which will be effective in seeing a policy change through.

Source: Adapted from Sutton, 1999.

Summary

The Policy Circle was devised to formulate the components of policy into a simple framework with easy-to-remember components, each labeled with a “*P*”. What is the *P*roblem, what *P*eople/*P*laces participate, what *P*rocess do they go through, do they consider the *P*rice Tag, what *P*aper is generated, and what *P*rograms are developed to implement the policy and that is the *P*erformance of the programs to achieve the goals of the policy? The simplicity of the Policy Circle is not intended to imply that developing policy is a simple process – indeed, each component of the Policy Circle is complex and requires significant work to ensure that policies are well formulated and implemented to address the problems identified. Other policy frameworks and theories, e.g. Kingdon’s (1984) policy streams are also useful in understanding how the “*P*” components of policy fit together.

Challenges can be found in each of the six “*P*’s.” Perhaps a problem was not well articulated through adequate policy analysis. Perhaps there is strong opposition or differences of opinion on how to address the problem. There may have been no efforts to consult those who will be affected by the policy change. Perhaps the policy document is vague and requires an implementation strategy. Resources for implementation may be inadequate. Using the Policy Circle and related tools can help identify what aspects of policy or the policy process needs to be addressed to solve an identified problem.

The Policy Circle does not give an indication of the time each element will take. That will depend on the context and the issue to be addressed. Clearly, small or lower level policy changes may take a shorter period of time than larger policy changes. Experience suggests that, “in order to complete at least one cycle of formulation, implementation, and reformulation and to obtain a reasonably accurate portrait of program success and failure,” 10 years or more are ideal (Sabatier and Jenkins-Smith, 1993, pp. 16).

Problems may need to be addressed by more than one policy. What is considered first as an adequate policy solution may not succeed and the problem may need to be addressed through further policy reform – going back to the “*P*roblem” and going through the policy circle again.

Note: Adding Tools to the Policy Circle

Numerous tools exist to assess each “P” of the Policy Circle. Some of these were developed under the POLICY Project. Others were developed by other projects or organizations and were adapted for use in family planning/reproductive health, safe motherhood, and HIV/AIDS policy analysis and development. Many of the tools are available at www.policyproject.com and also on CD-ROM from policyinfo@tfgi.com. Other tools are available through links to external websites. The Policy Circle Toolkit would benefit from adding appropriate tools designed by others. We would appreciate receiving notice of such tools to consider for inclusion in the toolkit, either directly or through links to other websites.

References

- AFSC (American Friends Service Committee). 2003. "AFSC Nominates HIV/AIDS Activist and Organization for Nobel Peace Prize." December 1. www.afsc.org/news/2003/nominates-activist.htm. Accessed March 19, 2004.
- Ainsworth, M., C. Beyrer, and A. Soucat. 2002. "AIDS and Public Policy: The Lessons and Challenges of 'Success' in Thailand." *Health Policy*.
- Altman, J. and E. Petkus. 1994. "Toward a Stakeholder-based Policy Process: An Application of the Social Marketing Perspective to Environmental Policy Development." *Policy Sciences* 27: 37-51.
- Ashford, L. and J. A. Nobel. 1996. "Population Policy: Consensus and Challenges." *Consequences*. 2(2).
- Boender, C., D. Santana, D. Santillán, K. Hardee, M. E. Greene, and S. Schuler. 2004. "The So What Report': Does Integrating a Gender Perspective Make a Difference to Reproductive Health Outcomes?" Washington, DC: Interagency Gender Working Group Task Force Report.
- Brinkerhoff, D., and B. Crosby. 2002. *Managing Policy Reform: Concepts and Tools for Decision-Makers in Developing and Transitioning Countries*. Bloomfield, CT: Kumarian Press, Inc.
- Calves, A. 2002. "Assessing Adolescent Reproductive Health Policies and Programs." *Policy Working Paper Series* No. 8. Washington, DC: The Futures Group, POLICY Project.
- Cross, H., K. Hardee, and N. Jewell. 2001. "Reforming Operational Policies: A Pathway to Improving Reproductive Health Programs." *POLICY Project Occasional Papers*. No. 7. Washington, DC: The Futures Group International.
- Gay, J., K. Hardee, N. Judice, K. Agarwal, K. Fleming, A. Hairston, B. Walker and M. Wood. 2003. *What Works: A Policy and Program Guide to the Evidence on Family Planning, Safe Motherhood and STI/HIV/AIDS Interventions*. Washington, DC: The Futures Group, POLICY Project.
- Grindle, M.S, and J.W. Thomas. 1989. "Policy Makers, Policy Choices, and Policy Outcomes: The Political Economy of Reform in Developing Countries." *Policy Sciences* 22: 213-248.
- Grindle, M.S, and J.W. Thomas. 1991. "Implementing Reform: Arenas, Stakes and Resources." In M.S. Grindle and J.W. Thomas. *Public Choices and Policy Reform: The Political Economy of Reform in Developing Countries*. Baltimore: The Johns Hopkins University Press. Pp. 121-150.
- Hardee, K., K. Agarwal, N. Luke, E. Wilson M. Pendzich, M. Farrell, and H. Cross. 1998. "Post-Cairo Reproductive Health Policies and Programs: A Comparative Study of Eight Countries." *POLICY Project Occasional Papers*. No. 2. Washington, DC: The Futures Group International.
- Hardee, K., P. Pine, and L. Taggart. 2003. *Adolescent and Youth Reproductive Health in the ANE Region: Status, Issues, Policies and Programs*. POLICY Occasional Paper No. 9. Washington, DC: The Futures Group, POLICY Project.

- Hayes, W. 2001. "The Public Policy Cycle." www.geocities.com/~profwork/pp/implement/index.html. Accessed March 19, 2004.
- Health Canada: Women's Health Bureau. 2003. "Exploring Concepts of Gender and Health." Ottawa, Ontario: Canada.
- Hogel, J. A., E. Green, V. Nantulya, R. Stoneburner, and J. Stover. 2002. "What Happened in Uganda? Declining HIV Prevalence, Behaviour Change and the National Response." *Project Lessons Learned Case Study*. September. Washington, DC: USAID. P. 6.
- Inter-Agency Group for Safe Motherhood. 1997. "The Safe Motherhood Action Agenda: Priorities for the Next Decade." New York: Family Care International.
- Isaacs, S. and A. Irvin. 1991. *Population Policy: A Manual for Policymakers and Planners, Second Edition*. The Development Law and Policy Program, Center for Population and Family Health, Columbia University, and The Futures Group.
- Judice, Nicole. 2004. "Priority Setting and Overcoming Operational Policy Barriers to Implementing the National Reproductive Health Program in Ukraine." Final Core Package Report. Washington, DC: The Futures Group, Policy Project.
- Kaufman, J. 2003. "The Myths and Realities of China's Population Program." *Harvard Asia Quarterly*. Winter.
- Kingdon, J.W. 1984. *Agendas, Alternatives and Public Policies*. Ann Arbor: The University of Michigan.
- Kohut, M. B. and N. Lakiza-Sachuk. 1999. "National Level Decision Making on Reproductive Health Policy in Ukraine. A Report to the POLICY Project." Washington, DC: The Futures Group, POLICY Project.
- Laswell, H. 1951. "The Policy Orientation." In *The Policy Sciences*, Edited by D. Lerner and H. Lasswell. Stanford: Stanford University Press.
- Lush, L., J. Cleland, K. Lee, and G. Walt. 2000. "Politics and Fertility: A New Approach to Population Policy Analysis." *Population Research and Policy Review* 19:1-20.
- Meier, G.M. 1991. *Politics and Policymaking in Developing Countries: Perspectives on the New Political Economy*. San Francisco: International Center for Economic Growth Press.
- Ministry of Health and Population, Egypt. 2001. *National Maternal Mortality Study: Egypt 2000: Report of Conclusions and Findings*. Directorate of Maternal and Child Health Care.
- Mooij, Jos and Veronic de Vos. 2003. "Policy Processes: An Annotated Bibliography on Policy Processes, with Particular Emphasis on India." *Working Paper 221*. London: Overseas Development Institute.
- Mostipan, O., A. Gayduk, V. Lepit'ko, N. Judice, V. Galayda, K. Hardee, and V. Dayaratna. 2004. "Reproductive health Resource Efficiency study in Ukraine 2001–2002. Case study based on research conducted at the city and rayon levels in Kamianets-Podilsky in Khmelnytsky Oblast and

Svitlovodsk in Kirovograd Oblast.” Kiev: MEDMA and Washington, DC: The Futures Group, POLICY Project.

President’s Emergency Plan for AIDS Relief. United States Department of State Website. Washington, DC. 2004. See <http://www.state.gov/s/gac/rl/or/c11652.htm>; and <http://www.state.gov/documents/organization/29861.pdf>

POLICY Project. 1999. *Networking for Policy Change: An Advocacy Training Manual*. Washington, DC: The Futures Group, POLICY Project. www.policyproject.com.

POLICY Project. 2000. *Health Reform, Decentralization, and Participation in Latin America: Protecting Sexual and Reproductive Health*. Washington, DC: The Futures Group, POLICY Project.

POLICY Project. 2003. *Moments in Time. HIV/AIDS Advocacy Stories*. Washington, DC: The Futures Group, POLICY Project.

POLICY Project. 2004a. “State-Level Planning and Advocacy for Adolescent Reproductive Health in Nigeria.” *Policy Brief*. Draft. Washington: The Futures Group, POLICY Project.

POLICY Project. 2004b. “Strengthening Coordination on Youth in Jamaica.” *Policy Brief*. Draft. Washington: The Futures Group, POLICY Project.

POLICY Project Results Database. Futures Group, POLICY Project. Results recorded as of December 2003.

Porter, R. 1995. “Knowledge Utilization and the Process of Policy Formation: Toward a Framework for Africa.” Washington, DC: Academy for Educational Development: SARA Project and USAID/HHRAA..

Reich, M. 2002. “The Politics of Reforming Health Policies.” *IUHPE-Promotion and Education* 4(4):138-142.

Sabatier, P., and H. Jenkins-Smith. 1993. *Policy Change and Learning: An Advocacy Coalition Approach*. Boulder, CO: Westview Press, Inc.

Schuler, S. R.. 1999. “Gender and community participation in reproductive health projects: Contrasting models from Peru and Ghana.” *Reproductive Health Matters* 7(14): 144-157.

Sine, J., M. Clyde and Z. Baser. 2004. “A Political Economy Perspective of Donor Phase-out: Achieving Contraceptive Self-reliance in Turkey.” *Policy Working Paper Series*. Washington, DC: The Futures Group, POLICY Project.

Starrs, A. 1998. *The Safe Motherhood Action Agenda: Priorities for the Next Decade—Report on the Safe Motherhood Technical Consultation 18-23 October 1997, Colombo, Sri Lanka*. New York: Family Care International in Collaboration with the Inter-Agency Group for Safe Motherhood.

Stover, J., and A. Johnston. 1999. “The Art of Policy Formulation: Experiences from Africa in Developing National HIV/AIDS Policies.” *POLICY Project Occasional Papers. No. 3*. Washington, DC: The Futures Group International.

- Subaran, S. and K. Hardee. 2001. "Steps to Passing Laws and Policies in Jamaica." Kingston, Jamaica: Youth.now ARH Project. The Futures Group.
- Sutton, R.. 1999. "The Policy Process: An Overview." ODI Working Paper 118. London: Overseas Development Institute.
- TAC (Treatment Action Campaign) website: <http://www.tac.org.za>. Accessed March 19, 2003.
- Thomas, J., and M. Grindle. 1994. "Political Leadership and Policy Characteristics in Population Policy Reform." *Population and Development Review* 20 Supp: 51-70.
- UN. 2003. *Fertility, Contraception and Population Policies*. ESA/P/WP.182. New York: United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division. April 25.
- UNFPA. 1999. "The State of World Population 1999. Six Billion: A Time for Choices." New York: UNFPA.
- UNFPA. 2003. "The State of World Population 2003. Investing in Adolescents' Health and Rights." New York: UNFPA.
- Walt, G., and L. Gilson. 1994. "Reforming the Health Sector in Developing Countries: The Central Role of Policy Analysis." *Health Policy and Planning* 9(4):353-370.
- UNAIDS. 1999. "From Principle to Practice: Greater Involvement of People Living with or Affected by HIV/AIDS (GIPA)." *UNAIDS Best Practice Collection*. Geneva: UNAIDS.
- USAID. 2000. "Policy Implementation: What USAID Has Learned." Center for Democracy and Governance. Washington, DC: USAID.
- USAID. 2003. "USAID Postabortion Care Guidelines Report, Internal Document." Washington, DC: USAID.
- VSI (Voluntary Sector Initiative. 2002. "A Code of Good Practice on Policy Dialogue." Canada. www.vsi-isbc.ca/eng/policy/policy_code.cfm#context. Accessed March 19, 2004.

Appendix 1: Description of the Policy Circle Tools

Tool Name	Description
A Framework To Identify Gender Indicators For Reproductive Health and Nutrition Programming	A Framework to Identify Gender Indicators for Reproductive Health and Nutrition Programming provides an evaluation tool to ensure that gender themes become part of program design, and consequently, monitoring and evaluation. The framework provides an annex of sample objectives, gender-related obstacles to achieving the objectives, activities to address the obstacle, indicators to measure the activities, and sources of data.
Advocacy Manual (Networking for Policy Change: An Advocacy Training Manual)	The manual is based on the principle that advocacy strategies and methods can be learned. The building blocks of advocacy are the formation of networks, the identification of political opportunities, and the organization of campaigns. The manual includes a section on each of these building blocks, with specific subjects presented in individual units. Units within each section contain background notes, learning objectives, and handouts. While the manual can be used in its entirety, it is designed to be used in sections depending on the particular needs of the network. The manual promises to be a useful and practical tool for NGOs and other organizations committed to improving the quality of family planning and reproductive health programs. Available on the Policy Circle CD and online at: http://www.policyproject.com/pubs/AdvocacyManual.cfm
AIDS Program Effort Index (API)	AIDS Program Effort Index (API) measures program effort in the response to the HIV/AIDS pandemic. The index is designed to describe national effort and the international contribution to that effort for 10 different components: political support; policy formulation, organizational structure, program resources, monitoring and evaluation, legal and regulatory environment; human rights; prevention programs; care programs; and service availability.
ALLOCATE	ALLOCATE is an interactive computer program that can be used to improve resource allocation decisions for Reproductive Health programs by enhancing the understanding of decision makers. Providing better information to decision makers about the consequences and trade-offs involved in resource allocation decisions will result in improved programming.
Budgeting for the Reproductive Health and Population Sector	This training module on budgeting was designed to assist governments and organizations working in the reproductive health and population sector to develop an understanding of the importance of linking planning and budgeting; learning how to identify the required resources in preparing a budget; learning how to estimate the cost of the resources; and learning tools and techniques for preparing a budget. http://www.policyintranet.com/Documents/Other/rh-budget.cfm

Checklist for Determining Priority Operational Barriers to be Addressed	See <u>Reforming Operational Policies: A Pathway to Improving Reproductive Health Programs</u>
Demographic Health Survey (DHS)	Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS) are nationally household surveys with sample sizes of between 5,000 and 30,000 households, typically. DHS surveys provide data for a wide range of monitoring and impact evaluation indicators in the areas of population, health, and nutrition. Available at: www.MeasureDHS.com
Estimation and Projection Package (EPP)	Epidemic Projection Package (EPP) prepares HIV prevalence projections from surveillance data and can be used to project the course of the HIV/AIDS epidemic. The EPP was developed by UNAIDS. POLICY was involved in designing EPP through its collaboration with the UNAIDS Reference Group on Estimates, Models, and Projections.
Evaluation Indicators	<p>“The strategic objective of MEASURE is to improve and institutionalize the collection and utilization of data for monitoring and evaluation of host-country population, health and nutrition programs and for policy decisions.</p> <p>MEASURE <i>Evaluation</i> develops and applies methods for monitoring and evaluation so that technically sound results can be used to determine the performance of programs.”</p> <p><i>For more information:</i> www.cpc.unc.edu/measure/</p>
Expanded Adolescent Reproductive Health (ARH) Political Environment Score (PES) module	The Policy Environment Score (PES) is intended to measure the degree to which the policy environment in a particular country contributes to improvements in the reproductive health status of the population, with particular focus on access to high quality family planning and reproductive health services. It includes modules for family planning, STD/AIDS, post-abortion care, safe pregnancy and adolescents. The Expanded ARH PES’s core questions have been modified to focus specifically on adolescent reproductive health. The PES is designed to provide a quick assessment of the policy environment at low cost. It is not designed to provide a comprehensive assessment of the policy environment, but to be part of a system for measuring the impact of policy activities.
Gender Analysis	<i>Exploring Concepts of Gender and Health.</i> By Women’s Health Bureau, Health Canada. “Being male or female has a profound impact on our health status, as well as our access to and use of health services. At Health Canada, gender-based analysis (GBA) is being integrated as a tool in the research-policy program development cycle to better illustrate how gender affects health throughout the lifecycle—and to identify opportunities to maintain and improve the health of women and men, girls and boys in Canada. As such, GBA supports the development of health research, policies, programs and legislation that are fair and effective, and are consistent with government commitments to gender equality.”

GOALS	GOALS is an interactive computer program that can be used to improve resource allocation decisions for HIV/AIDS programs by enhancing the understanding of decision makers. Providing better information to decision makers about the consequences and trade-offs involved in resource allocation decisions will result in improved programming.
HIV/AIDS Legislation Score (HALS)	HIV/AIDS Legislation Score (HALS) is an indicator developed by POLICY for classifying discrimination provisions in national or subnational legislation that have an impact on HIV/AIDS. HALS classifies legislative intent as “enabling” (promoting reduction or elimination of discrimination) or “restrictive” (discriminating against those infected with HIV). Legislation is one type of policy, which constitutes a public endorsement of the goals and methods of programs for dealing with serious public health problems, including HIV/AIDS. HALS is composed of three parts: classification of legislative objective; a priority (top-ten) list of issues in HIV/AIDS and human rights; and an annex that give examples of enabling and restrictive legislation.
HIV/AIDS Toolkit: Building Political Commitment for Effective HIV/AIDS Policies and Programs	The toolkit contains five modules to assist activists interested in increasing political commitment for effective HIV/AIDS policies and programs.
Human Rights Approach	The Human Rights Approach outlines POLICY’s steps for assessing human rights in a given country. Steps include identifying a health problem, identifying the related national norm or policy, comparing this policy with the human rights standard, researching a human rights solution, proposing a new rights-based policy, and advocating for its adoption.
Human Rights Matrix	The Human Rights Matrix is a searchable database linking international human rights instruments, country parties, and specific human rights issues. The database lists the international human rights documents that are important to reproductive and maternal health, family planning, and HIV/AIDS. The Matrix permits you to access information about a POLICY country's human rights obligations.
Legal and Regulatory Checklist	The Legal and Regulatory Checklist consists of two tables. Table 1 provides a list of regulations and laws that may be prohibitive to family planning service use. Table 2 summarizes various policy issues related to contraceptive prevalence and access to family planning services, the respective authority responsible for them as well as problems and subsequent consequences that may arise.
Maternal & Neonatal Program Effort Index (MNPI)	Maternal and Neonatal Program Effort Index (MNPI) provides country-specific data on maternal and neonatal health programs in about 30 developing countries. The MNPI is a tool that can be used to: 1) assess current health care services; 2) identify program strengths and weaknesses; 3) plan strategies to address deficiencies; 4) encourage political and popular support for appropriate action; and 5) track progress over time.

Networking for Policy Change: An Advocacy Training Manual	See <u>Advocacy Manual</u> for description of tool
Planning and Finance Checklist	Planning and Finance Checklist is a planning checklist that specifies key elements of the planning process, such as finance, operational policies, and M&E, and asks multiple choice questions to determine whether the plan has adequately addressed these issues. The tool was pilot tested in Russia in December 2002.
Policy Characteristics Checklist	The Policy Characteristics Checklist assesses the various aspects of policy. For example, from where did the impetus for policy change come, what is the nature of the costs and benefits and who bears them, and how complex are the changes?
Policy Environment Score (PES)	The Policy Environment Score (PES) is intended to measure the degree to which the policy environment in a particular country contributes to improvements in the reproductive health status of the population, with particular focus on access to high quality family planning and reproductive health services. It includes modules for family planning, STD/AIDS, post-abortion care, safe pregnancy and adolescents. The PES is comprised of a core set of questions that should be modified or adapted to fit the specific needs of various countries. The PES is designed to provide a quick assessment of the policy environment at low cost. It is not designed to provide a comprehensive assessment of the policy environment, but to be part of a system for measuring the impact of policy activities.
Policy Stakeholder Analysis Matrix	The Policy Stakeholder Analysis Matrix is used to analyze the stakeholders related to a specific issue. It is used best when stakeholders from various sectors collaborate to conduct a comprehensive analysis. It assesses the group or organization and their potential vested interest in the policy reform, level of knowledge about the issue, available resources, capacity for resource mobilization, and position on the issue.
Political Mapping	Political Mapping is carried out by the PolicyMaker software available at www.polimap.com . "PolicyMaker is a rapid assessment method for analyzing and managing the politics of public policy. Politics affects all aspects of public policy -- what gets on the agenda, who supports an issue, who opposes an issue, whether an issue receives official approval, and whether the official policy is implemented. PolicyMaker is a logical and formal procedure to provide practical advice on how to manage the political aspects of public policy. The method helps decision-makers improve the political feasibility of their policy." (text from www.polimap.com)
Reforming Operational Policies: A Pathway to Improving Reproductive Health Programs	The paper discusses the nature of operational policies, stresses the important role they play in the continuum from national decrees to local services, and provides a framework for operational policy reform.
Reproductive Health Legislation Index	The Reproductive Health Legislation Index measures the integration of gendered issues in a country's legal system, and the subsequent rights and equity afforded to women.

Resource Needs Model (RNM)	An Excel worksheet for calculating the funding required for an expanded response to HIV/AIDS at the national level. It includes 14 prevention programs, six care and treatment programs and orphan support.
SPECTRUM	SPECTRUM is a system comprising of different computer models in a unified, user-friendly Windows-based package. The models comprising SPECTRUM are used to determine the future consequences of today's population policies and programs. In 2003, the models included in the SPECTRUM system are: DemProj, FamPlan, Ben-Cost, NewGen, AIM, PMTCT, and RAPID.
Stakeholder Analysis Matrix	Guidelines for Conducting a Stakeholder Analysis was developed to provide users with a framework for assessing whom key actors are and their interests, knowledge, positions, alliances, resources, power and importance. It is important to conduct a stakeholder analysis prior to implementation of a policy so that areas of resistance can be identified and acknowledged, and areas of support can be enhanced.
Steps to Address Operational Barriers	See <u>Reforming Operational Policies: A Pathway to Improving Reproductive Health Programs</u>
Strategic Pathway to Reproductive Health Commodity Security (SPARCHS)	SPARCHS assesses supply conditions, future needs, and develops strategies and action plans to achieve national RH objectives. Includes indicators to gauge progress towards contraceptive and reproductive health commodity security. (Text source: deliver.jsi.com)
Strategic Planning for the Reproductive Health and Population Sector Training Module	This training module on strategic planning was designed to assist governments and organizations working in the reproductive health and population sector develop an understanding of the fundamental principles, concepts, and analytic techniques of strategic planning as well as foster an understanding of the linkage between strategic planning and budgeting.
Summary of Regulations and Policy Issues	Summary of Regulations and Policy Issues provides a framework for assessing the population policy environment, including the legal, political, economic, demographic, ecological, cultural, and technological elements of the policy environment. The framework helps users identify the influences of obstacles and facilitators in each environmental element. It also provides a matrix to assess various issues and their impact, and to propose strategies for change.
Understanding steps to passing a law or policy	NEED
What Works series of modules	What Works: Safe Motherhood: This guide summarizes research published in peer-reviewed publications with clear and transparent data on the effectiveness of various reproductive health interventions, program and policy initiatives that can be implemented to improve family planning/reproductive health and reduce STI/HIV/AIDS in developing countries, rather than dosage levels of particular medications. Biomedical information is included in so far as it is relevant to programmatic

	<p>considerations.</p> <p>Furthermore, the modules in this guide categorizes these interventions by those that are effective, those that may be on the cutting edge but for which sufficient evidence has not yet been gathered, and common interventions that are not effective. This guide includes policy and program issues regarding the components of reproductive health and HIV/AIDS. Most evidence in the guide comes from developing countries; however, where that was not available, evidence from developed countries is included.</p> <p>Information on other What Works modules: PAC available mid-2004; STI/HIV/AIDS and FP publications available 2005</p>
Willingness To Pay Surveys for Setting Prices for Reproductive Health Products and Service	Willingness to pay (WTP) surveys allow program managers to simulate price-related changes in demand without actually changing prices, giving them a way to make pricing decisions based on empirical information.
National-Level Decision Making on Reproductive Health Policy	Between October 1998 and March 1999, the POLICY Project conducted a key informant study to gain insight into Ukrainian policymakers' knowledge of and attitudes toward reproductive health issues in the hopes of giving these issues greater priority in the policy environment. In preparation for this study, the POLICY Project found it necessary to conduct a review of the decision-making process and to identify key decision makers. The findings of this review are described in this report,
Workplace Policy Builder (WPB)	The Workplace Policy Builder (WPB) is a computer program used to assist companies/organizations in developing a corporate/organization HIV/AIDS workplace policy. WPB serves as a guide through the, sometimes complex, process of developing a policy. There are a number of resources available in the program, including: a database of corporate workplace policy from around the world, a literature database of the impact of HIV/AIDS, National HIV/AIDS policies/legislation, National HIV/AIDS Workplace policies/legislation, international standards, the AIM-B model, and a component for assessing the cost of any proposed program.